

Analysis of Do Not Resuscitate Order (DNR) & Allow Natural Death (AND) Practice in Saudi Arabia: Experience of Three Major Centers

مرکز جونز هوبكنز

أرامكو الطبي

Johns Hopkins

Aramco Healthcare

Babgi, A. (JHAH); Al Shammari, S. (KFMC); Abuzenadah, W. (KAMC); Shehabi, M. (KFSH); McGrath, H. (JHAH); Al Saywi, B. (KAMC); Abdrabalnabi, Z. (KFSH); Mahafzah, M. (KFMC)

Introduction and Problem Background

Do Not Resuscitate (DNR) and Allow Natural Death (AND) orders are becoming more common in practice in Saudi Arabia, specifically when dealing with terminally ill and dying patients.

The international literature reports that patients and families are increasingly part of the decision making process when it comes to deciding to introduce Cardio Pulmonary Resuscitation CPR in emergency situations and critical illnesses, and patients can assign a proxy to take a decision on their behalf if they are not in a position to do so. Being able to make such a decision in agreement with their healthcare professionals, gives patients autonomy over life decisions. How is this applied in our population in Saudi Arabia as a practice?

Traditionally, healthcare system and healthcare professionals, act using a paternalistic approach. Healthcare professionals make treatment decisions for their patients, and this is a societal expectation as they are seen to have the knowledge and expertise necessary to make such decisions.

Currently in Saudi Arabia, patients and their families are in a position where they like to be informed and involved in the decision-making process during disease trajectory. Taking into consideration the sensitivity of a DNR order, this is becoming a crucial topic for discussion and communication.

The impact of such decisions on patients and their families can be frightening, highly emotional, distressing, and might be considered aggressive if not done properly, especially if they were not kept informed about disease progression, the condition and status of their loved-ones, and were excluded from the decision-making process

Purpose and Objectives

Purpose:

This study looked at the prevalence of DNR/AND practices in three major hospitals in different areas of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. It examined if patients or families had been informed of such decisions. This study also explored if referral to palliative care services had improved communication processes between healthcare organizations and patients and their families with regards to DNR/AND.

Research Aim:

To determine and examine the utilization of DNR orders in major hospitals in Saudi Arabia and to discover patient and family involvement in this process.

Questions/Objectives:

What is the prevalence of DNR orders initiated between 2011-2016 at tertiary level hospitals?

What is the prevalent specialty for DNR orders to be initiated?

Had DNR been discussed with patients and families? Are patient/family awareness/reaction to DNR decisions addressed in documentation and if so how?

What percent of patients on DNR are referred to palliative care?

Is the number of admissions/invasive procedures/interventions reduced by DNR status or referral to palliative care?

Is there a relationship between patient demographics and patient/family awareness of a DNR decision

Methodology

Design: Medical records of patients with a documented DNR order between 2011 and 2016 were retrospectively reviewed after receiving IRB approval from each hospital.

Sample & Setting: The sample included medical records of patients who were diagnosed with terminal/life threatening illnesses at 18 years of age and above and were put on DNR status. The settings included three institutions: King Fahad Medical Center, Riyadh (KFMC); King AbdulAziz Medical City, Jeddah (KAMC); and King Fahad Specialist Hospital, Dammam (KFSH). Any record that did not meet eligibility criteria was excluded from the study. The researchers were able to successfully collect information required for the study from more than 300 patient records.

Instrument: A data collection sheet comprising two parts was used. Patient demographics included age, diagnosis, etc. The DNR form used in each institution was also used to gather data for the study. **Data Collection:** The researchers obtained a list from each hospital of all patients who had a DNR order between 2011-2016. In each hospital, the Medical Records, Palliative Care and Oncology departments were involved in providing the data. Patient medical records were accessed and reviewed to extract the required information for the study. Patient records that did not meet eligibility criteria for the study were excluded.

Ethical Consideration: The research proposal and documents were sent to the Institution Review Board (IRB) of each institution for approval. The study was approved by all IRBs. Since this is a retrospective study and did not involve actual patient and family contact, informed consent was not required. Confidentiality and anonymity was considered by coding all information collected from patient records and using data in an aggregate form without identifying individual information. There was no patient/family/physician contact at any point.

Data collected were stored manually in a locked cupboard in a locked office with access only allowed by the primary researcher. The data were also electronically stored in a folder in a password protected computer only accessible by the primary researcher.

Data Analysis: Data were collected and assigned serial code numbers. The primary investigator is responsible for all data from all hospitals.

Results

- Following is the preliminary results from one of the three hospitals. The other two hospitals are in final stage of data collection and data entry.
- Information was collected from 200 patients; five patients were excluded as they were pediatric patients
- The results showed 60% of patients are male and 97% are Saudi. The son as a caregiver accounts for 61% of patients, followed by a spouse as a caregiver in 15% of patients (Table 1).
- The oncology team accounts for 58% as the primary team/consultancy for patients, followed by the palliative team 31%, other specialties including hematology, internal medicine, surgery and radiology accounted for 11%. Only 8% of patients had their DNR form renewed (Table 1)

- 99% of patients had their DNR form signed. 86% of patients had the DNR form signed in an inpatient area, 7% as outpatients and 3% in the emergency room (Table 2).
- 76% of patients died, and of these, 67% died in a hospital ward while the place of death was unknown for 25% (Table 2).
- 8% of patients were aware of their DNR status, while 57% of the families had been informed about the patient's DNR status (Table 3).
- 58% of patient files had documentation about DNR status discussed with family (Table 4).
- DNR discussion with patient/family took place prior to DNR signature in 39% and 25% after obtaining the DNR signature.
- In regard to DNR documentation, only 54% of discussions were related to DNR and plan of care and in 40% nothing was reported in this respect.
- Only 47% of families had a reaction towards awareness about DNR status. Only 42% agreed on the care and decision; however, only 6% of families requested transfer to other facilities, while in 52%, family reaction documentation was not found.
- 99% of patients were referred to palliative care, and 89% of patient palliative and supportive Plan of Care was started for them.
- 42% of the plans of care were initiated to control physical symptoms for patients, while 47% were a combination of both physical and psychological symptom control.
- Although, end of life protocol is a hospital policy in KFMC, only 17% of patients had EOL protocol started for them.
- The average age of patients is 61 years. The average number of admissions before DNR signature is 4 admissions and SD of 6 compared to 1 admission after DNR signature with SD of 2 admissions. The average length of stay from diagnosis to palliative care referral is 600 days with SD 716 days. The average length of stay from DNR signature to palliative care referral is 87 days with SD of 171 days (Table 5).
- The average length of stay days from palliative care referral to death is 68 with SD of 102 days. The average length of stay from DNR signature to death is 93 days with SD 143 days. The average length of stay from patient diagnosis to patient death was 637 days with SD of 721 days (Table 5).

Gender	Response	No.	Percentage
Male	Yes	117	60.0
	No	78	40.0
	Total	195	100.0
Nationality	Saudi	190	96.9
	Egyptian	3	1.5
	Jordanian	3	1.5
Total	193	100.0	
Primary Consultant Team	Oncology	113	58.9
	Other Specialities	31	15.6
	Palliative Care	40	20.8
	Radiology	11	5.4
	Total	195	100.0

Pt. Informed about DNR	Response	No.	Percentage
Yes	Yes	15	7.7
	No	86	44.1
Unknown	Yes	94	47.7
	No	193	100.0
Family Informed DNR	Yes	112	56.9
	No	36	18.2
Total	195	100.0	

DNR Discussion Documentation	Response	No.	Percentage
When DNR discussed with pt/family	Yes	113	57.9
	No	82	42.1
	Total	195	100.0
When DNR discussed with pt/family	Before DNR Signed	76	39.0
	After DNR Signed	38	19.5
	None-Not reported	81	41.5
	Total	195	100.0

DNR Signed	Response	No.	Percentage
Yes	Yes	192	98.5
	Unknown	3	1.5
Total	Total	195	100.0
Location	Inpatient	167	85.6
	OPD	13	6.7
	Total	180	92.3
Pt. Status	ER	6	3.1
	Unknown	9	4.6
Total	Total	195	100.0
Place of Death	Alive	19	9.7
	Dead	149	76.4
	Unknown	27	13.8
	Total	195	100.0
	Hospital Ward	131	67.2
	Hospital ICU	131	67.2
	Home	13	6.7
Unknown not recorded	48	24.6	
Total	195	100.0	

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Patient Age	195	61.07	15.885
Number of Admissions before DNR	195	3.84	5.967
Number of Admissions after DNR	194	1.40	1.633
Length of Stay from Diagnosis to Palliative Care Referral in Days	193	600.70	716.624
Length of Stay from DNR to Palliative Care Referrals in Days	195	87.02	171.427
Length of Stay from Palliative Care Referrals to Death in Days	132	68.88	102.331
Length of Stay from DNR to Death in Days	132	93.40	143.018
Length of Stay from Diagnosis to Death in Days	131	637.44	721.628

Conclusion

Based on the preliminary results, there is a notable fact that patients are not informed about their DNR status, but more family members are. Documentation of DNR status and plan of care remains an issue. There is a delay in referring patients to palliative care services, which results in patients not receiving the appropriate care and symptom management that is needed.

Early referral to palliative care remains the ultimate goal for compassionate care.

References

- Abdallah, FS., Radaeda, MS., Gaghama, MK. & Salameh, B., (2016) Intensive Care Unit Physician's attitudes on do not resuscitate order in Palestine. Indian Journal of Palliative Care 22 (1) p. 38- 41.
- American Thoracic Society (1991). Withholding and withdrawing life-sustaining therapy. Annals of Internal Medicine. 115, 478-485.
- Baggs, J. G. and Schmitt, M. H. (1988). Collaboration Between Nurses and Physicians. Journal of Nursing Scholarship, 20: 145-149.
- Braddock III, C. H. and Derbenwick Clark, J. (1998) Do Not Resuscitate (DNAR) Orders. Ethics in Medicine.
- Bruera, E., Neumann, C., Mazzocato, F., Stiefel, F. & Sala, R. (2000). Attitudes and beliefs of palliative care physicians regarding communication with terminally ill cancer patients. Palliative Medicine 2000; 14: 287-298.
- Curtis, RJ., & Burt, R. (2007) Point: The Ethics of Unilateral "Do Not Resuscitate" Orders: The Role of "Informed Assent". CHEST Vol 132, No.3.
- deMaine, J. & Dennett, JM. (2014) Communicating with patients and Families About Difficult End of Life Decisions: A Guide for Medical Providers. Hamline Law Review, Vol 36; (2)13.
- Ebrahim, S., (2000) Do not resuscitate decisions: flogging dead horses or a dignified death. British Medical Journal. 320:1155
- Fan, R. & Li, B. (2004) Truth telling in medicine: the Confucian view. Journal of Medical Philosophy, 29, 179-193.
- Helft, PR., Siegler, M. & Lantos, J. (2000) The rise and fall of the futility movement. New England Journal of Medicine, (343): 293-296.
- Ismail, M.; Khashaba, S.; Elmusharaf, K.; Etmam, M.; Hakim, K. & Tierney, E. (2015) Misunderstanding of the Term DNR in a Middle Eastern Hospital. Bahrain Medical Bulletin, Vol. 37, No. 2.
- O' Kelly, C.; Urch, C. & Brown, E. (2011) The impact of culture and religion on truth telling at the end of life. Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation 26: 3838-3842.
- Presidency of the Administration of Islamic Research and Ifta, Riyadh, KSA, in their Fatwa No. 12086 issued on 30.6.1409 (Hijra) [1988 (AD)].
- Rahman, M.; Abuhasna, S. & Abu-Zidan, FM. (2013) Care of terminally-ill patients: an opinion survey among critical care healthcare providers in the Middle East. African Health Science Vol 13 (4).
- Takroui, M. & Halwani, T. (2007). An Islamic Medical and Legal Prospective Of Do Not Resuscitate Order In Critical Care Medicine. Internet Journal of Health Vol: 7(1).
- Truog, R. (2010) Is it always wrong to perform futile CPR? New England Journal of Medicine, 362 (6):477-9.
- Qu'ran 39:43 cited in Abuhasna, S. (2008).
- <http://www.naama.com/pdf/islamic-medical-ethics-said-abuhasna-md.pdf> {Accessed online 06/02/2017}

